

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/706,877	11/12/2003	Stuart L. Schreiber	42697.137 (US8)	5816	
23483 75	590 09/26/2006		EXAMINER		
WILMER CU 60 STATE STR	TLER PICKERING HA	RAMACHANDRAN, UMAMAHESWARI			
BOSTON, MA 02109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1617		
•			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2000	DATE MAILED: 09/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10	0/706,877	SCHREIBER ET AL.	
		Ex	aminer	Art Unit	
		Um	namaheswari Ramachandran	1617	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F. HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M isions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum street or reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. atutory period will app will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNICATION In no event, however, may a reply be timely and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi	2b)⊠ This acti for allowance o	on is non-final. except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> are subject to restriction	re withdrawn fr			
Applicati	on Papers			•	
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objected to the country of	a) accepte ction to the draw the correction is	ring(s) be held in abeyance. Sees required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	-			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO 048)	4)		
3) Inform	e of Drattsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or · No(s)/Mail Date			Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to different groups, patentably distinct species, and different types of cancer.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1, 3-9, 11 are drawn to a method of treating cancer by administering various five member heterocyclic compounds, classified in class 514, subclass 369, 514, subclass 376, 514, subclass 389.
- II. Claim 2, 3-9,10 are drawn to a method of treating cancer by administering various bicyclic heterocyclic compounds, classified in class 514, subclass 369, 514, subclass 376, 514, subclass 389, 514, subclass 412.

Inventions listed in groups I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions use structurally distinct compounds in the treatment of cancer.

The inventions are distinct if the following can be shown: the methods as claimed could be carried out with various five member heterocyclic compounds and bicyclic heterocyclic compounds. In the instant case the methods in the claims use structurally different products to treat cancer. The inventions are distinct and independent as they employ in the inventions, structurally distinct compounds.

Art Unit: 1617

The reference required for Group I would not be the same for the other under U.S.C 103. One skilled in the art would readily practice the invention of one of the above groups without infringing and or practicing the invention of another group. The searches of Groups I and II may be overlapping but there is no reason to believe that the searches would be co-extensive. The extensive search in different fields would represent undue burden on the Office. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, and require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Claims 1-11 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species: a single disclosed species of the compound from Group I or Group II.

The species are independent or distinct because the species of the compounds listed in claims 1 and 2 are structurally different and the search for each species of the compounds listed would represent undue burden on the Office. If Applicant elects either Group I or II, applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Additionally, applicant is required under U.S.C § 121 to elect a single type of cancer from the disclosed list (claim 4).

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

Art Unit: 1617

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1617

Election

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

A telephone call to the attorney is not required where 1) the restriction requirement is complex, 2) the application is being prosecuted pro se, or 3) the examiner knows from past experience that a telephone election will not be made (MPEP § 812.01). Therefore, since the examiner knows from past experience that written restriction is preferred, a telephone election was not made.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Umamaheswari Ramachandran whose telephone number is 571-272-9926. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

